



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/657,360	09/07/2000	Motohisa Watanabe	040447/0225	1622	
7	590 07/11/2002				
Foley & Lardner Washington Harbour 3000 K Street NW Suite 500 P O Box 25696 Washington, DC 20007-8696			EXAMINER		
			FISCHER, ANDREW J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/657,360

Motohisa Wantanabe

Examiner

Andrew J. Fischer

Art Unit 3627

		Alldrew	7. I ISCITEI	3027			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with the corres	pondence address	s		
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>		is/are	pending in the a	application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn fror	m consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to	0.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-16</u>	are :	subject to restric	tion and/or elect	tion requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers	•					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) 🗆 accepted	J or b)□ objecte	ed to by the Exar	niner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	\square The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) 💢 All b) 🗆 Some* c) 🗀 None of:							
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) 🔲 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Surr	nmary (PTO-413) Paper i	No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09657,360 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a system, classified in class 238, subclass 7 R.
 - II. Claims 9-16, drawn to a computer program, classified in class 705, subclass 16.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a computer program for keeping track of inventory. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

ا سند i

Application/Control Number: 09657,360 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Election of Species

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Represented by Figure 1; and

Species B: Represented by Figure 12.

Applicant is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The elected species must at least be capable of being used together with the chosen invention above (Inventions I or II above). Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added in response to this Office Action or in any future amendment. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09657,360

Art Unit: 3627

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species

to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to David A. Blumenthal on June 18, 2002 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

7. All MPEP sections cited within are from the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure

(MPEP) Eighth Edition, August 2001 unless expressly noted otherwise.

8. The art unit and technology center for this application has changed. The new art unit is

3627 in technology center 3600. So that papers may be properly matched, please indicated the

new art unit on any paper submitted with this application.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Andrew J. Fischer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0292.

AJF

July 9, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600